# COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT Act 46 Implementation Project

<u>Goal</u>: To support the study committee by outlining the phases of community engagement involved in bringing a merger plan to the electorate for a vote. The objective is to educate the electorate on the data, the process, and the vision for merger, provide a forum for feedback, and present the ultimate plan.

#### Four Phases:

- I. Educate and engage
  - Rationale for the bill
  - Requirements of the bill and likely outcome
  - Opportunities within the bill
  - The bill and the SU (get specific)

#### **Suggested Tools:**

Strategy	Strength	Weakness
Op-Ed	Reaches out to a broad group; beyond the "usual suspects"  Maintains maximum control of information  Media exposure	Media exposure
Online supports	Can be used to recruit participants and coordinate organizers Can disseminate deliberation materials for use beyond formal community meetings and allows for more educated follow-up	Requires technical assistance (We can help!) Requires ongoing follow-up Requires a community that actively seeks information Due to open meeting laws, cannot have forum.
Board Meetings	Can be videotaped and posted on website.	Due to open meeting laws, cannot have forum.

- II. Sharing of analysis
  - Equity and quality and cost—
    - · What will happen if we do nothing
    - What are our opportunities
  - Options under consideration

## **Suggested Tools:**

Strategy	Strength	Weakness			
Online	Can disseminate deliberation materials for use	Requires technical assistance			
Supports	beyond formal community meetings and allows	Requires ongoing follow-up			
	for more educated forum follow-up				
	Provides opportunity for public validation and				
	digestion of complicated information				
Community	Provides for immediate legitimization of	Requires expertise to do well			
Meetings	information	Less control over information			
	Opportunity to respond to questions	Tends to reach only the "usual			
	immediately	suspects"			
Focus	Efficient way to gain input	Less effective than other			
Groups	Maintains maximum control of information	strategies for legitimizing			
		plans			
		Requires money and expertise			
		to do well			

## III. Sharing of the plan/Receive public input

- Share plan
- Questions, comments, suggestions to improve the plan

## **Suggested Tools:**

Strategy	Strength	Weakness
Stakeholder	Targets key groups	Time-consuming
Dialogue	Relatively inexpensive	Limited impact on community
	Requires minimal special expertise, technical	overall
	assistance	Can be politically tricky to
		include some stakeholders
		and not others
Community	Engages the most people	Labor-intensive
Forums	Generates new ideas	Requires significant planning
	Raises general awareness through direct	and lead time
	contact, word-of-mouth, and media attention	Requires ongoing follow-up
Online	Can disseminate deliberation materials for use	Requires technical assistance
Supports	beyond formal community meetings.	Requires ongoing follow-up
	Provides opportunity for public validation and	
	digestion of complicated information	
Op-Ed	Reaches out to a broad group; beyond the	Media exposure
	"usual suspects"	
	Maintains maximum control of information	1
	Media exposure	

#### IV. Presentation of the plan

• Inform!

#### **Suggested Tools**

Strategy	Strength	Weakness
Media	Engages the most people	Less control over information
Coverage	Raises general awareness	
Community	Opportunity to respond to questions	Suggests that the plan is still
Meetings		in formation

The consultant should empower the committee to develop a communications plan. Members of the study committee should be clearly assigned individual responsibility for each activity in the plan, as well as specific vehicles (Front Porch Forum, Facebook, Op-Ed, etc) and the specific audiences to reach.

## **Community Engagement**

Fo	117	P	h	2	c	۵	e	•

- 1. Educate and engage
  - Rationale for the bill
  - Requirements of the bill and likely outcome
  - Opportunities within the bill

The bill and the SU (get specific) October, November, December
Actions:
2. Sharing and analysis  Equity and quality cost -  • What will happen if we do nothing  • What are our opportunities  Options under consideration  November, December, January
Actions:
3. Sharing of the plan/receive public input  • Share plan  • Questions, comments, suggestions to improve the plan  January, February, March
Actions:
4. Presentation of the plan  ● Inform!  March, April, May
Actions: